

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08212/0200295-US0	3172
EXAM	INER
CHOJNACKI, MELLISSA M	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2164	
	DELIVERY MODE PAPER
	08212/0200295-US0 EXAM CHOJNACKI, ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/611,365	WANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mellissa M. Chojnacki	2164	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

dment document filed on 30 April 2007 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the

equirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, tem(s) is required.	
HE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE Note 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	NON-COMPLIANT:
 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other 	
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1. C. Other 	d. Replacement drawings
 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (includin C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as so of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn) D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending E. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	such, the individual status e indicated after its claim y amended), (Canceled), -currently amended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR	1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714	4.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	•
Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final a filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	
2. Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.1 amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amend Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	nent, a non-final amendment 14), a supplemental dment filed in response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amandment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.	nendment is a non-final
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final am filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary ame amendment.	

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The reply by the applicant or patent owner must be reduced to a writing which distinctly and specifically points out the supposed errors in the examiner's action and must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office action. The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. (MPEP Rule 37 CFR 1.111(b))

The applicants response does not contain any arguments regarding newly added claims 38-40, in regard to how it overcomes the prior art

of record. Arguments must be presented within the Response section of the Amendment.